(3)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3102 **/2003**

PETITIONER

SMT RUDNI DEVI, WIFE OF SHRI SHEETAL THAKUR, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS (BARBAR), RESIDENT OF VILLAGE TIWARI MARHATIYA, PS AND TAH. GARHWA, JHARKHAND, **PRESENT ADDRESS** : BAURIPARA, NEAR KENA BADA, AMBIKAPUR, DISTT. SURGUJA (CHHATTISGARH)DISTT.

P. R. No. 23/9/03 Presented by Shri B.N. Dhurve dated 24/09/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH THROUGH SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF FOREST, D.K.S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR (CG.).

2. CONSERVATOR OF FOREST, DEPARTMENT OF FOREST, CIRCLE SURGUJA, DISTT. SURGUJA (CHHATTISGARH(.

3. DISTRICT COLLECTOR, AMBIKAPUR, DISTT. SURGUJA (CHHATTISGARH)

4. DISTRICT FOREST OFFICER (EAST ZONE), DEPARTMENT OF FOREST, AMBIKAPUR DISTT. SURGUJA (CHHATTISGARH).

5. THE SUB DIVISIONAL OFFICER (FOREST) DEPARTMENT OF FOREST, AMBIKAPUR, DISTT. SURGUJA (CHHATTISGARH).

6. SHEETAL THAKUR, SON OF LATE DHANESH THAKUR, R/O. FUNDUDIHAR, LAKDAPARA,

RECEIVED COPY 23/9/03 CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

AMBIKAPUR, DISTT. SURGUJA
(CHHATTISGARH).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS PROHIBITION ETC.

XI-HC-22

(42)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3102/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6-4-2004 Shri A.N. Bhakta, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, P. L. for the State. Heard on I.A. No. 9180/2003 for urgent hearing. The application is allowed. Heard on I.A. No. 10837/2003 for amendment. Not opposed. The application is allowed. The amendment be incorporated. Heard. The petitioner has filed this petition against the inaction of the respondents due to non-concluding the departmental enquiry against respondent no. 6. It is contended that the petitioner is the first wife of respondent No. 6 and respondent No. 6 has entered into second marriage. It is submitted that explanation was also called for thereafter she has not heard any proceedings. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, it is directed that the competent authority which is said to be respondent no. 2/Conservator of Forest would look into the matter strictly in accordance with law after hearing the parties concerned as early as possible. With this observation, the petition is disposed of. In view of above order, M.W.P. No. 2832/2003 stands disposed of. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

patel

[पीछे देखिये